Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/541,987	VAN CASTEREN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thuy V. Tran	2821	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			
(1) <u>Thuy V. Tran</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Dicran Halajian</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2008</u>	Time: <u>11:30 AM ET</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: 40, 41			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  A teleconference was held to discuss corrections to be made to claims 40-41 resulting in an authorized examiner's amendment including:  Claim 40, line 1, change "circuit" tomethod; and  Claim 41, line 1, change "circuit" tomethod			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
/Thuy Vinh Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2821 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appro	opriate)